

ROBERT T. STOOKSBURY, JR.,)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) No. 3:09-CV-498-TAV-HBG
)
 MICHAEL L. ROSS, *et al.*,)
 Defendants.)

1416-1]. He has also submitted invoices for the same period, detailing the out-of-pocket expenses the Receiver incurred in furtherance of these duties. [Id.]. The Court has reviewed the invoices and finds that they are reasonable.

The Receiver's Application was filed on November 6, 2014. The documents supporting the Receiver's requested reimbursement have been available for review to the parties. No party or interested person has objected to the compensation and expenses submitted. The time for doing so has expired. See, generally, E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.1. The Court may treat this failure to respond as acquiescence to the relief sought. See E.D. Tenn. L.R. 7.2.

Based upon the foregoing, the Court finds that the Receiver's request for compensation is well-taken, and the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that it be **GRANTED**. The Court finds the compensation and expenses requested by the Receiver are **REASONABLE**, and the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that they be **APPROVED**.

II. Receiver's Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Receiver also requests that the Court approve the attorneys' fees and expenses incurred through Woolf, McClane, Bright, Allen & Carpenter, PLLC ("WMBAC") for the period from July 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014. WMBAC requests \$108,893.50 in professional fees and \$541.91 in expenses incurred, for a total of \$109,435.41.

The Receiver also filed documents evidencing the professional and paraprofessional time expended by WMBAC between July 1, 2014 and October 31, 2014, and the rates billed for such time. [Doc. 1440]. The Court has also reviewed the WMBAC billing entries, which were filed under seal, and finds that they are reasonable. The Receiver submitted the amount of the fees and costs requested in publically-available documents on November 6, 2014. The Court finds no party or other entity has objected to the amounts requested for WMBAC's fees and costs, and the

time for doing so has expired, see E.D.Tenn. L.R. 7.1.

Based upon the foregoing, the Court finds that the **\$108,893.50** in professional fees and **\$541.91** in expenses incurred by WMBAC in serving as counsel to the Receiver during the period from July 1, 2014, through October 31, 2014, are **REASONABLE**. The Receiver's request that WMBAC be reimbursed for these fees and expenses, as funds come available, is **APPROVED**, subject to further order of the Court.

III. Conclusion

The undersigned **RECOMMENDS**² that:

1. The Eighth Quarterly Report and Twenty-Ninth Interim Application to Pay Receiver's Fees and Approve Receiver's Attorneys' Fees and Costs [**Doc. 1416**] be **GRANTED**;
2. The Receiver be permitted to **DISBURSE** the **\$6,409.50** requested as compensation for services and reimbursement for expenses October 2014; and
3. The **\$108,893.50** in professional fees and **\$541.91** in expenses incurred by WMBAC be **APPROVED**, subject to further order of the Court.

Respectfully Submitted,


United States Magistrate Judge

² Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be served and filed within fourteen (14) days after service of a copy of this recommended disposition on the objecting party. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Such objections must conform to the requirements of Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Failure to file objections within the time specified waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985). The district court need not provide de novo review where objections to this report and recommendation are frivolous, conclusive or general. Mira v. Marshall, 806 F.2d 636 (6th Cir. 1986). Only specific objections are reserved for appellate review. Smith v. Detroit Federation of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370 (6th Cir. 1987).